The 1655 ed. was probably printed from this. See doves in l. 325.

JA 3717

This is no doubt the ed. of 1632, but to make assurance doubly sure, I had rather some day take this copy for comparison.

~~Mc 10. d~~

UNIVERSITY LIBRARY EDINBURGH

BOUND BY TUCKETT

I have been unable to trace the edition to which this fragment belongs. It is probably unique.

Certainly not ed. 1616 or 1624. The arrangement of the type differs.

I strongly suspect that this is really the ed. 1632, ~~XII~~ no copy of which is now known to exist, the only one traced to have existed having disappeared. This is more than a fragment. It has 27 leaves out of 32.

This is my conjecture now, but I see in my folio ed^n I then considered it to be previous to 1616.